

To the members of the Federal Communications Commission:

I am writing from a Google-sponsored website. I received a notice that Google seeks to bring free wireless via the 'white spaces' to everyone and they would like support for this. I am writing because I am someone who is very compromised by wireless. I can't spend time in restaurants, friends' homes, or parts of cities because of how ill I feel around certain concentrations of wireless technology. When staying in homes with wireless routers my body starts to hurt, I feel depressed, anxious, disorganized, my body heats up, etc. Flying in a jet with wireless technology produced an experience of incredible misery during the trip and I will not fly with that airline again as a result. I know it is the wireless technology and not something else because the symptoms go away when the equipment is shut off, or I walk out of a building with a concentration of wireless inside bouncing off the walls.

Because of my experience with wireless I have done a lot of research over the past year. Most of the research available concerns cell phones and cell towers, but wireless is wireless, the combination of carrier wave frequencies and digital bits of information is involved. There are many studies which conclude that there either is or may be considerable risk associated with wireless technology. See www.bioinitiative.org for some excellent research. Based on what I read about how wireless and dirty energy affect us, coming from sectors of concern in the US, Canada, Europe, the UK, Australia, and upon my own consistent experience over many months, the idea of universal free wireless fills me with absolute horror. I would have to live in a cave or leave the country.

And I'm not alone. The statistics I read say that there is currently 3% of the population who are so affected by electromagnetic & radio frequency disturbances that they can't function and are considered to be medically disabled. Some 35% of the population is considered to be symptomatic. Radio frequencies carrying bits of digital information constitutes a constant assault on the immune system (see www.safewireless.org as well). There are people who are already living on the run from technology and universal wireless would give people nowhere to go. Even if only 1% of the population were symptomatic it's a huge number of people.

There's a lot of greed and desperation involved in the expansion of wireless technologies, as well as some kind of progressiveness. In an effort to b

ring access to resources to lower income populations, and whatever other altruistic motivations may be behind Google's effort, there will also be a huge cost in health and psychological problems from universal exposure to dirty energy. Wireless technology is not safe, even if a lot of people don't experience noticeable harm - yet. The fact that anyone experiences pain from exposure, such as myself, warrants an absolutely conservative approach to implementing any more of this technology. The fact that my neighbors' wireless signals penetrate my home is already invasive, along with the signals from the cell towers. The idea that I cannot shield myself from ubiquitous signals is, again, horrifying to me. The fact that increased exposure from multiple sources increases the risk is reason to proceed with absolute caution. Those who have developed electrohypersensitivity (EHS) may never be able to reverse the condition.

The researchers involved with the Bioinitiative Report (see above website) make the point that all standards for exposure to any kind of EMF phenomenon should be 'biologically based'. International standards, especially in the US, are woefully above what affects a human body and based on questionable parameters. Please read this research. There is a gap right now between acceptance of research and experience which does demonstrate harm from exposure to wireless, and acceptance by certain public/business/scientific sectors that harm has been demonstrated, and I believe that companies like Google are jumping into this gap to push through their own agendas at a pace that is too rapid for real research and prudent avoidance to keep up with.

We need to absolutely know that people will not be jeopardized by increased levels of exposure before anything is installed! And this standard should include all people, not just the people who are less noticeably affected.

Please watch the following education video by Magda Havas who is an expert in this area for an education on the subject if you are not already deeply informed:

<http://video.google.ca/videoplay?docid=3D6284020723745580379&q=3D%22Magda+Havas%22&ei=3DJh6YSMOoEpDQ-wGh9YWqBg&hl=3Den>

Thank you so much for your time and attention. NOW is the time to be careful, and not be swayed by some of the lure of access to information, by the people who don't understand the risks in their zeal for more technological power. From my experience the fact that I can access my email at any old c

afe in town (and risk security breaches galore) doesn't make up for the fact that I can't sleep, can't think, my legs ache, I can't visit my friends and family, can't travel freely, etc. Be careful, the people affected in the future may include you or your loved ones. I never thought I'd be writing something like this.

-----=_Part_26_30844270.1219726087279--